

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,607 | 01/31/2006 | Masateru Nakamura | 126868 | 7140 |
| 25944 OLIFF & BER | 7590 12/12/2008 PRIDGE PLC | 3 | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. BOX 320850 | | | STALDER, MELISSA A | |
| ALEXANDRI | A, VA 22320-4850 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) NAKAMURA, MASATERU 10/566,607

| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | MELISSA STALDER | 1793 | | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>MELISSA STALDER</u> . | (3) <u>John Kern</u> . | | | | | | |
| (2) | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 23 November 2008. | | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2) applicant's representative | e] | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 4. | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hunter, Vodokov, and Lundberg</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)□ was not reached. h)□ N | I/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner's non-final rejection did not explicitly include the rejection of claim 4. Examiner said written rejection of claim 4. In the rejection of claim 4. In the rejection of claim 4 was to be handled. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793